

Action Taken on the Alleged Fraud Case

3522. SHRI ABANI ROY: Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to refer to the reply to Unstarred Question 1233 given in the Rajya Sabha on 7th March, 2001 and state:

(a) whether it is a fact that one of the co-accused stated to be absconding has been attending Government office regularly;

(b) if so, what action is proposed to be taken against the concerned I.O. who deliberately not nabbing the culprit from his office;

(c) whether as per the latest Balance Sheet circulated in the General Body meeting held on 4th March, 2001, only an amount of Rs. 3,69,715.00 has been mentioned as embezzled; and

(d) if so, why there is so much difference of about 33 lakhs?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI CHENNAMANENI VIDYASAGAR RAO): (a) and (b) A teacher of a government school was cited as a witness in the supplementary challan filed by Delhi Police against an accused person. Later, during investigation the accused person produced some receipts in the court, which were, signed by, amongst other, the above witness. The experts of Forensic Science laboratory also confirmed that the signature belonged to the above witness. An application has now been moved in the court by Delhi Police seeking permission for deleting his name from the list of witnesses and for effecting his arrest in the case as a co-accused. As there has been no foul play on the part of the IO, no action is proposed against him.

(c) and (d) The Samiti has not provided any balance sheet to Delhi Police, hence this information is not available with them.

Statutory Guidelines for the Recipients of Foreign contribution

3523. PROF. (SHRIMATI) BHARATI RAY:
SHRI BRATIN SENGUPTA:

Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) the statutory guidelines and other conditions laid down for receipt of foreign contribution to be observed by the recipients; and